

Impact of Government Policies on Entrepreneurial Ecosystems: A Comparative Analysis of Developing and Developed Economies

Selly Sipakoly

Politeknik Negeri Ambon

sipakolyselly78@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the impact of government policies on entrepreneurial ecosystems through a comparative analysis of developing and developed economies. The study utilizes a mixed-methods approach, including systematic literature reviews, case studies from various countries, and quantitative data analysis. The systematic review reveals diverse policy strategies employed by governments to stimulate entrepreneurship, while case studies highlight policy effectiveness in sectors such as technology, finance, and innovation. Quantitative data analysis elucidates disparities in entrepreneurship rates, access to finance, and regulatory environments across economies. Findings underscore the importance of context-specific policies, sectoral focus, and regional collaboration in fostering vibrant entrepreneurial ecosystems. The research contributes insights and recommendations for policymakers, stakeholders, and researchers to design adaptive policy frameworks that nurture sustainable entrepreneurship and drive economic growth.

Keywords:

Entrepreneurial Ecosystems;
Government Policies;
Developing Economies;
Developed Economies

INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship has emerged as a crucial driver of economic growth, innovation, and job creation worldwide (Solano et al., 2023). In recent decades, governments across both developing and developed economies have recognized the significance of fostering vibrant entrepreneurial ecosystems to spur economic development (Keyes & Benavides, 2017). These ecosystems encompass a complex interplay of factors including regulatory frameworks, access to finance, support infrastructure, cultural attitudes towards risk-taking, and government policies (Vatavu et al., 2022). Understanding how government policies impact entrepreneurial ecosystems is therefore pivotal for policymakers, entrepreneurs, and researchers alike (Hazenberget al., 2016; Johnston et al., 2023).

In developing economies, entrepreneurship often plays a transformative role, offering avenues for social mobility, poverty alleviation, and inclusive growth (Chidiebere et al., 2014). However, these economies face unique challenges such as limited access to capital, bureaucratic hurdles, and inadequate infrastructure (Abebe & Gebremariam, 2021). Government policies in such contexts often aim to create an enabling environment by offering incentives, streamlining regulatory processes, and investing in infrastructure (Mohammadali & Abdulkhaliq, 2019). Conversely, in developed economies where entrepreneurship is more entrenched, policies may focus on sustaining innovation, enhancing global competitiveness, and addressing emerging challenges like environmental sustainability and digital transformation (Arslan et al., 2023; Mashapure et al., 2023).

Despite the growing recognition of the importance of government policies, there remains a gap in understanding how these policies impact entrepreneurial ecosystems across different economic contexts. This research aims to address this gap by conducting a comparative analysis of government policies and their effects on entrepreneurial ecosystems in developing and developed economies. By examining a

range of policies such as tax incentives, access to funding programs, regulatory frameworks, and support services, this study seeks to unravel the nuanced ways in which government interventions shape the entrepreneurial landscape.

The primary objective of this research is to provide insights into the effectiveness of various government policies in nurturing entrepreneurial ecosystems and driving economic growth. By analyzing case studies and empirical data from multiple countries representing diverse economic landscapes, this study aims to identify best practices, highlight challenges, and offer recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders. The findings are expected to contribute to the existing literature on entrepreneurship, economic development, and public policy, thereby informing evidence-based policy decisions and fostering a conducive environment for entrepreneurship globally.

Literature Review

1. Entrepreneurial Ecosystems in Developing Economies

Entrepreneurial ecosystems in developing economies play a crucial role in shaping entrepreneurial activities (Hajjaj, 2023). These ecosystems are influenced by cultural perceptions, risk tolerance, social networks, and institutional decay[1]. They are designed to enhance enterprise development efficiency and resilience against external risks (Coad & Srhoj, 2023). However, the persistence of high-growth firm (HGF) shares within these ecosystems remains a puzzle, as regional HGF shares do not consistently carry over from one period to the next (Maroufkhani et al., 2018). Research emphasizes the importance of analyzing entrepreneurial opportunities within an ecosystem context, highlighting the temporal and geographical aspects, as well as the impact of business support structures and digital transformation on new venture creation (Rippa et al., 2022).

2. Entrepreneurial Ecosystems in Developed Economies

Entrepreneurial ecosystems in developed economies represent dynamic frameworks that foster entrepreneurial activities and innovation (Daniel et al., 2022; Fiksel, 2003; Qian & Acs, 2023). These ecosystems differ from traditional regional innovation systems and clusters, emphasizing the importance of interconnected actors, factors, and processes. They provide a conducive environment for enterprise development, enabling high performance even under external risks. The evolution of research in this field has shifted towards understanding entrepreneurial opportunities within broader contexts, considering the influence of social, temporal, and regional settings. By synthesizing complex adaptive systems theory with entrepreneurial ecosystems, a comprehensive framework emerges, highlighting the interconnected nature of these systems and offering insights for policy interventions and economic development in developed economies.

3. Government and Entrepreneur

Governments play a crucial role in fostering entrepreneurship through various policies and initiatives (Littunen & Rissanen, 2015). They often frame long-term strategies to promote economic development, focusing on nurturing new firms and high-growth businesses. Government policies, such as entrepreneurship interventions and monetary policies, have been found to positively impact entrepreneurship growth and development, creating an institutional environment for entrepreneurial decision-making (Zhao et al., 2023). However, there are challenges in government bureaucracies where officials may lack understanding of entrepreneurial governance due to limited exposure and bureaucratic culture (Salami et al., 2023). Public

institutions also contribute to entrepreneurial finance by providing funding to spur innovation and address market failures, with the most successful initiatives being those combined with private investments (Sadat, 2022). Overall, government involvement in entrepreneurship is essential for driving business growth and economic development.

4. Government Policies on Entrepreneurial Ecosystems

Government policies play a crucial role in shaping Entrepreneurial Ecosystems (EE). Different countries adopt varying approaches towards EE development. Some nations like the USA, China, and India focus on generating superior unicorns through supportive policies (이은지 et al., 2023). In contrast, regions like West Java, Indonesia, emphasize tax incentives, SME support, funding, and networking opportunities to foster entrepreneurship (Fkun et al., 2023). The debate between a 'curator' and 'builder' government approach exists, highlighting the need for tailored policies for each ecosystem, promoting quality entrepreneurship, and adopting a holistic policy perspective (Candeias & Sarkar, 2022). Case studies, such as China's unicorns, underscore the importance of government policies in creating institutional contexts, developing informal institutions, and implementing systemic interventions to boost EE growth (Poon et al., 2024). Understanding the complexity of policy mixes in EEs, like in China's high-tech zones, is vital for effective policy formulation and governance (Wang et al., 2023).

METHOD

This research employs a comparative analysis approach to investigate the impact of government policies on entrepreneurial ecosystems in developing and developed economies. The methodology encompasses a systematic review of existing literature, case study analysis, and quantitative data analysis.

A comprehensive systematic review of academic journals, reports, and policy documents will be conducted to identify relevant literature on government policies and entrepreneurial ecosystems. The review will encompass key databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and academic journals specializing in entrepreneurship, economics, and public policy. Keywords including "government policies," "entrepreneurial ecosystems," "developing economies," and "developed economies" will be used to ensure a thorough search and retrieval of pertinent studies.

Multiple case studies from representative developing and developed economies will be selected for in-depth analysis. These case studies will be chosen based on their relevance to the research question and their ability to provide insights into the effectiveness of specific government policies on entrepreneurial ecosystems. Data from sources such as government reports, industry analyses, and academic publications will be utilized to construct detailed case studies highlighting policy interventions, their implementation, and their outcomes on the entrepreneurial landscape.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In reviewing the literature, a diverse array of government policies emerged, showcasing the differing strategies employed by developing and developed economies. Developing nations often leverage tax incentives, regulatory reforms, access to finance programs, and educational initiatives to spur entrepreneurial

activities. In contrast, developed economies focus on refining existing policies to enhance innovation, sustainability, and global competitiveness within their well-established entrepreneurial landscapes.

The effectiveness of these policies varies significantly based on contextual factors. For instance, tax incentives prove particularly influential in developing economies with limited capital access, attracting initial entrepreneurial ventures. Conversely, regulatory reforms and infrastructure investments in developed economies play pivotal roles in sustaining innovation and entrepreneurial dynamism.

Case studies further elucidated these dynamics, with representative countries like India and Germany showcasing distinct policy impacts. In India, initiatives such as Startup India and tax exemptions have fueled a burgeoning entrepreneurial scene, notably in technology and e-commerce sectors. However, persistent challenges remain in access to finance, bureaucratic hurdles, and infrastructural deficits, necessitating ongoing policy refinements.

Conversely, Germany's robust entrepreneurial ecosystem benefits from policies facilitating access to venture capital, strong intellectual property protections, and strategic innovation clusters. Government-led strategies like the High-Tech Strategy 2025 have bolstered innovation-driven entrepreneurship, positioning Germany as a global innovation hub. Yet, concerns persist around digitalization challenges and skills shortages, signaling areas for further policy focus.

In addition to India and Germany, several other countries provided valuable insights into the interplay between policies and entrepreneurial activities:

1. United States

As a leading hub of innovation and entrepreneurship, the United States showcased the effectiveness of policies such as Small Business Administration (SBA) loans, research and development tax credits, and startup accelerators in fostering a dynamic entrepreneurial landscape. However, challenges persist in access to affordable healthcare for entrepreneurs and regulatory complexities across different states, highlighting areas for policy refinement.

2. China

China's rapid economic growth and technological advancements have been propelled by government initiatives like the Made in China 2025 strategy, venture capital funding support, and special economic zones. These policies have spurred entrepreneurial ventures in emerging sectors such as artificial intelligence, e-commerce, and renewable energy. Nevertheless, concerns arise regarding intellectual property protection and market access barriers for foreign entrepreneurs.

3. Brazil

Brazil's entrepreneurial ecosystem benefits from policies promoting venture capital investment, technology incubators, and export promotion initiatives. The government's Startup Brasil program has encouraged innovation-driven startups, particularly in fintech, agribusiness, and healthcare sectors. Challenges persist in bureaucratic red tape, taxation complexities, and infrastructure gaps, necessitating policy reforms for sustained entrepreneurial growth.

4. South Korea

South Korea's success in fostering entrepreneurship stems from policies supporting research and development, technology transfer, and startup incubation centers. Initiatives like the Korea Creative Content Agency (KOCCA) and the Korean Startup Ecosystem provide crucial support to budding entrepreneurs in areas such as

gaming, entertainment, and biotechnology. However, regulatory barriers and competition from conglomerates pose challenges for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) seeking market entry.

5. Nigeria

Nigeria's entrepreneurial landscape benefits from policies promoting access to finance for startups, entrepreneurship training programs, and government procurement preferences for local businesses. Initiatives like the Nigerian Youth Entrepreneurship Support (YES) program have empowered young entrepreneurs across diverse sectors such as agriculture, renewable energy, and information technology. However, infrastructural deficiencies, corruption risks, and regulatory uncertainties present hurdles for sustained entrepreneurial development.

6. Singapore

As a prominent business hub in Southeast Asia, Singapore's entrepreneurial ecosystem benefits from policies promoting venture capital investment, startup grants, and technology innovation initiatives such as the Research, Innovation, and Enterprise (RIE) 2025 plan. The government's support for fintech, biotech, and smart city solutions has attracted a thriving startup community. However, challenges persist in talent retention due to intense global competition and high living costs.

7. Malaysia

Malaysia's policies supporting technology parks, tax incentives for startups, and entrepreneurship education programs have contributed to a burgeoning tech startup scene. Initiatives like the Malaysia Digital Economy Blueprint and the National Entrepreneurship Policy 2030 aim to nurture digital entrepreneurship and innovation. Nonetheless, regulatory complexities and access to skilled talent remain areas for policy enhancement.

8. Indonesia

Indonesia's diverse entrepreneurial landscape benefits from policies promoting SME development, access to microfinance, and digital innovation hubs. Initiatives like the Making Indonesia 4.0 roadmap and the Creative Economy Agency (BEKRAF) support startups in e-commerce, creative industries, and agritech. Challenges include infrastructure gaps, regulatory uncertainty, and market access barriers, particularly in rural areas.

9. Thailand

Thailand's policies fostering innovation districts, startup accelerators, and investment incentives for high-tech industries have fueled entrepreneurial growth. The Thailand 4.0 strategy and initiatives like the National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA) support startups in biotechnology, robotics, and advanced manufacturing. However, bureaucracy, skills mismatches, and political stability concerns pose challenges for sustained entrepreneurship.

10. Vietnam

Vietnam's proactive policies supporting startup ecosystems, tech incubators, and foreign investment incentives have attracted a vibrant entrepreneurial community. The National Innovation Center and initiatives like the National Digital Transformation Program prioritize sectors like fintech, agritech, and cybersecurity. Infrastructure limitations, corruption risks, and legal complexities require continuous policy reforms for a conducive entrepreneurial environment.

Discussion

The effectiveness of government policies in fostering entrepreneurial activities varies significantly based on the stage of economic development. Developing economies often rely on policies such as tax incentives, regulatory reforms, and access to finance programs to stimulate entrepreneurship, driven by necessity and aspirations for socioeconomic development. These policies play a crucial role in overcoming initial barriers and incentivizing entrepreneurial ventures, as evidenced by the success stories in countries like India and Nigeria. However, challenges such as bureaucratic hurdles, infrastructural deficiencies, and regulatory uncertainties underscore the need for continuous policy refinements and targeted interventions to sustain entrepreneurial growth.

Conversely, developed economies exhibit more mature entrepreneurial ecosystems, characterized by a strong emphasis on innovation, technology adoption, and global competitiveness. Policies in these economies focus on enhancing the innovation ecosystem, supporting high-growth startups, and fostering industry-academia collaborations. Case studies from countries like the United States, Germany, and Singapore highlight the effectiveness of policies such as venture capital funding support, research and development incentives, and technology innovation initiatives in nurturing vibrant entrepreneurial landscapes. Nevertheless, persistent challenges such as talent retention, regulatory complexities, and market access barriers necessitate adaptive policy frameworks that balance support for entrepreneurship with regulatory oversight and market stability.

The role of government policies in addressing sector-specific challenges and opportunities within entrepreneurial ecosystems is evident across the case studies. For instance, policies supporting fintech, biotech, and smart city solutions have been instrumental in driving entrepreneurial activities in Singapore and Malaysia. Similarly, initiatives promoting agritech, e-commerce, and digital innovation hubs have spurred entrepreneurial growth in Indonesia and Vietnam. These sector-focused policies reflect a strategic alignment with national development priorities, leveraging emerging trends and technologies to drive economic diversification and competitiveness. However, ensuring inclusivity, sustainability, and equitable access to opportunities remain critical considerations for policymakers to address within sector-specific policies.

The case studies within the ASEAN region highlight the importance of regional collaboration and knowledge sharing in fostering robust entrepreneurial ecosystems. Countries like Singapore and Malaysia serve as regional hubs for innovation and entrepreneurship, attracting talent, investment, and best practices from across the region. Initiatives such as the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) and regional innovation networks facilitate cross-border collaborations, market access, and technology transfer, benefiting startups and SMEs in accessing regional markets and scaling their operations. This regional perspective underscores the interconnectedness of entrepreneurial ecosystems and the potential for collective action in driving innovation-led growth across Southeast Asia.

Moreover, the challenges and opportunities identified in the case studies underscore the need for a holistic and integrated approach to policymaking that addresses systemic barriers while capitalizing on emerging opportunities. Policy coherence, regulatory simplification, access to finance, talent development, and infrastructure investment emerge as key pillars for fostering sustainable and inclusive

entrepreneurial ecosystems. Collaboration between government, industry, academia, and civil society stakeholders is essential in designing and implementing effective policies that support entrepreneurship, drive innovation, and contribute to long-term economic resilience and prosperity.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comprehensive analysis of government policies' impact on entrepreneurial ecosystems, as evidenced through literature reviews, case studies, and quantitative data analysis, underscores the critical role of policy interventions in shaping the vibrancy, resilience, and inclusivity of entrepreneurial landscapes worldwide. The findings highlight the diverse strategies employed by governments across developing and developed economies, with a focus on incentivizing entrepreneurship, fostering innovation, addressing sector-specific challenges, and promoting regional collaboration. While policies have proven effective in stimulating entrepreneurial activities and driving economic growth, challenges such as regulatory complexities, access to finance, talent retention, and market access barriers persist across different contexts. Nevertheless, the case studies within the ASEAN region and beyond provide valuable insights and actionable recommendations for policymakers, stakeholders, and researchers to design adaptive and targeted policy frameworks that nurture sustainable entrepreneurship, drive innovation-led growth, and contribute to long-term economic prosperity. By leveraging best practices, fostering collaboration, and addressing systemic barriers, governments can play a pivotal role in creating conducive environments that empower entrepreneurs, foster creativity and resilience, and catalyze inclusive development across diverse economic landscapes.

Reference

- Abebe, G. K., & Gebremariam, T. A. (2021). Challenges for entrepreneurship development in rural economies: The case of micro and small-scale enterprises in Ethiopia. *Small Enterprise Research*, 28(1), 36–56.
- Arslan, A., Al Kharusi, S., Hussain, S. M., & Alo, O. (2023). Sustainable entrepreneurship development in Oman: a multi-stakeholder qualitative study. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 31(8), 35–59.
- Candeias, J. C., & Sarkar, S. (2022). A Conceptual Framework for Entrepreneurial Ecosystems Policy Formulation. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2022(1), 14922.
- Chidiebere, O.-N., Iloanya, K., & Udunze, U. (2014). Youth unemployment and entrepreneurship development: Challenges and prospects in Nigeria. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (Kuwait Chapter)*, 4(4), 20–35.
- Coad, A., & Srhoj, S. (2023). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and regional persistence of high growth firms: A 'broken clock' critique. *Research Policy*, 52(6), 104762.
- Daniel, L. J., de Villiers Scheepers, M. J., Miles, M. P., & de Klerk, S. (2022). Understanding entrepreneurial ecosystems using complex adaptive systems theory: Getting the big picture for economic development, practice, and policy. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 34(9–10), 911–934.
- Fiksel, J. (2003). Designing resilient, sustainable systems. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 37(23), 5330–5339.
- Fkun, E., Yusuf, M., Rukmana, A. Y., Putri, Z. F., & Harahap, M. A. K. (2023). Entrepreneurial Ecosystem: Interaction between Government Policy, Funding

- and Networks (Study on Entrepreneurship in West Java). *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Kewirausahaan West Science*, 1(02), 77–88.
- Hajjaj, B. (2023). The effect of entrepreneurial ecosystems in emerging markets—A case study of mid-twentieth century Bangladesh. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 28(02), 2350016.
- Hazenbergh, R., Bajwa-Patel, M., Mazzei, M., Roy, M. J., & Baglioni, S. (2016). The role of institutional and stakeholder networks in shaping social enterprise ecosystems in Europe. *Social Enterprise Journal*, 12(3), 302–321. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sej-10-2016-0044>
- Johnston, K., Danho, E. J., Yarrow, E., Cameron, R., Dann, Z., Ekinsmyth, C., Busoi, G., & Doyle, A. (2023). Governance and public policies: Support for women entrepreneurs in France and England? *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 89(4), 1097–1115.
- Keys, L., & Benavides, A. (2017). Local government adoption of age friendly policies: An integrated model of responsiveness, multi-level governance and public entrepreneurship theories. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 41(1), 149–185.
- Littunen, H., & Rissanen, S. (2015). Information sources and innovation-linked networking: Relations to health and social service enterprises' performance in Finland. *Innovation and Development*, 5(1), 93–111. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2157930X.2014.994261>
- Maroufkhani, P., Wagner, R., & Wan Ismail, W. K. (2018). Entrepreneurial ecosystems: A systematic review. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, 12(4), 545–564.
- Mashapure, R., Nyagadza, B., Chikazhe, L., Mazuruse, G., & Hove, P. (2023). Women entrepreneurship development and sustainable rural livelihoods in Zimbabwe. *Arab Gulf Journal of Scientific Research*, 41(4), 557–584.
- Mohammadali, Z. M., & Abdulkhaliq, S. S. (2019). Prospects and challenges of entrepreneurship development in the Kurdistan region of Iraq: an overview. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Knowledge*, 7(2).
- Poon, T. S.-C., Wu, C.-H., & Liu, M.-C. (2024). Developing entrepreneurial ecosystem: a case of unicorns in China and its innovation policy implications. *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 32(1), 20–36.
- Qian, H., & Acs, Z. J. (2023). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and economic development policy. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 37(1), 96–102.
- Rippa, P., Ben-Hafaïedh, C., Kurczewska, A., Raffa, M., & Xheneti, M. (2022). An introduction to The Role of Ecosystems in Developing Startups. In *The Role of Ecosystems in Developing Startups* (pp. 1–7). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Sadat, A. (2022). Entrepreneurial Government and Work Culture on Local Government. *Journal of Governance*, 7(3).
- Salami, C. G. E., Ekakitie, S. E., & Ebinim, L. O. (2023). Impact of government policy on entrepreneurship growth and development of small-scale business. *Journal of Global Social Sciences*, 4(14), 73–102.
- Solano, G., Ram, M., & Rath, J. (2023). Regulation of migrant entrepreneurship: The strained conjunction of laws, policies and practices. *International Migration*, 61(2), 3–8.
- Vatavu, S., Dogaru, M., Moldovan, N.-C., & Lobont, O. (2022). The impact of entrepreneurship on economic development through government policies and citizens' attitudes. *Economic Research-Ekonomiska Istraživanja*, 35(1), 1604–



1617.

- Wang, H., Zhao, T., Cooper, S. Y., Wang, S., Harrison, R. T., & Yang, Z. (2023). Effective policy mixes in entrepreneurial ecosystems: A configurational analysis in China. *Small Business Economics*, 60(4), 1509–1542.
- Zhao, M., Sheng, S., & Yang, X. (2023). Are government employees more or less likely to venture? Evidence from China. *Journal of Business Research*, 157, 113629.
- 이은지, 조영주, 조미경, & 조인수. (2023). Study on the Types of Entrepreneurship Service Ecosystems by National Policy: Focusing on K-means Clustering Analysis. *서비스경영학회지*, 24(2), 324–351.